

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Address:

STATES OF AN	Washington, J ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR 8009-7
APPLICATION NO.         FILING DATE           09/287,031         04/06/99         SKIENA	EXAMINER
LM41/0829	EDQUARD, P  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
FRANK CHAU ESQ F CHAU & ASSOCIATES LLP	2747
F CHAU & HOUSE SUITE 501 1900 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE EAST MEADOW NY 11554 EAST MEADOW NY	DATE MAILED: 08/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

1- File Copy PTO-90C (Rev 2/95)

## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/287,031** 

Applicant(s)

SKIENA ET AL

Examiner

Patrick N. Edouard

Group Art Unit 2747

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>Patrick N. Edouard</u> (3)	
(2) <u>Frank Chau</u> (4)	
Date of Interview Aug 25, 2000	
Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 186. If yes, brief description:	
Agreement _was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Examiner agreed to withdraw the Office Action (paper #5) mailed on March 18, 2000 because a preliminary amendment filed on December 10, 1999 was not entered. The Office Action will be forth coming.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
1.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.	
Zelbu	

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

FORESTER W. ISEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700 5